IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA WESTERN DIVISION

The State of KANSAS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES of AMERICA and the CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:24-cv-00150-DMT-CRH

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

In their latest motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' claims, Defendants repeat the arguments they have already submitted to the Court in their opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for a stay and preliminary injunction (ECF 61), motion for reconsideration of the Court's request for supplemental information (ECF 90 & 96), and opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for a temporary restraining order (ECF 107). They move to dismiss the case under Federal Rule 12(b)(1) as they claim Plaintiffs lack standing, as well as under Rule 12(b)(3), for improper venue, and under Rule 12(b)(6), for failure to state a claim. In the alternative, if the Court finds some Plaintiffs have standing, but not North Dakota, Defendants request a transfer to another district.

Defendants' motion should be denied. All Plaintiffs, including North Dakota, have standing, and venue is therefore proper in this district.

ARGUMENT

I. All Plaintiffs have standing

As the party invoking jurisdiction, Plaintiffs have the burden to prove standing by a preponderance of evidence. *See Moss v. United States*, 895 F.2d 1091, 1097 (8th Cir. 2018). Plaintiffs

fully explained in their motion for a stay and preliminary injunction (ECF 35), reply (ECF 81), and in oral argument (ECF 84) that each Plaintiff State has standing in this case and that venue is therefore proper in this district. And at the Court's request, Plaintiff North Dakota submitted supplemental information further detailing the harms it would suffer as a result of Defendants' Final Rule. ECF 103 & 111. And Plaintiffs further elaborated on standing in their motion for a temporary restraining order. ECF 105. These filings are incorporated by reference, to avoid repetitive briefing.

Plaintiffs established that all Plaintiff States have standing in this case. Kentucky, Idaho, and Virginia operate their own Affordable Care Act exchanges and will incur expenses processing applications, updating eligibility systems, and providing customer service for newly eligible DACA recipients. *See* ECF 35 at 15-16; ECF 81 at 1-4. A declaration by Adam Meier provided additional evidence of these costs, beyond what appears in the administrative record. *See* Meier Decl. ECF 35-2. And the Final Rule itself acknowledges these costs. *See* 89 Fed. Reg. at 39,424.

The remaining Plaintiff States have standing due to the costs they will incur to provide social services, including drivers' licenses and public education, to DACA recipients and their dependents who choose to continue to reside in the States, rather than emigrating, because they receive valuable benefits under the Final Rule. *See* ECF 35 at 16-18; ECF 81 at 5-8. Evidence of these costs to the States was also provided in a declaration by immigration expert Steven Camarota. *See* ECF 35-1. And once Defendants identified the DACA recipients residing in North Dakota, Plaintiffs submitted detailed information about the costs to North Dakota of providing drivers' licenses and public education to DACA recipients and their dependents. *See* ECF 103 & 111.

Plaintiffs have established standing for each State in this case. Defendants' motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b)(1) should therefore be denied.

II. Venue is proper in this district

When a federal agency is a defendant, venue is proper in any district in which a Plaintiff resides. 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (e)(1). As discussed above, including in ECF 35 and ECF 81, incorporated by reference, Plaintiff North Dakota has standing and resides in this district. Additionally, when one plaintiff has standing, that is sufficient to establish the Court's jurisdiction. *See Nebraska v. Biden*, 143 S.Ct. 2355, 2365 (2023). Therefore, venue is proper in the District of North Dakota.

Defendants' motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b)(3), or alternatively to transfer the case to another district, should be denied.

III. Defendants do not meet the standard for 12(b)(6) dismissal

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) requires dismissal when a party has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. To survive a motion to dismiss, the complaint must contain "sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 555 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (internal quotations omitted). A motion to dismiss should not be granted "unless it appears beyond doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to relief." *Ulrich v. Pope County*, 715 F. 3d 1054, 1058 (11th Cir. 2013).

In their complaint, Plaintiffs assert legally cognizable claims on which relief can be granted. Further, Plaintiffs have argued, in their motion for a stay and preliminary injunction (ECF 35), and their reply (ECF 81), that they are likely to succeed on the merits of their claim that the Final Rule violates the APA because it is not in accordance with law and is arbitrary and capricious. The reasoning in those filings, incorporated by reference, which supports

Plaintiffs' claim that they are likely to succeed on the merits also supports a finding that Plaintiffs have stated claims on which relief can be granted. Dismissal under Rule 12(b)(6) is therefore not appropriate.

Defendants have not actually made any argument in favor of a dismissal under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). They point to ECF 61 at 21-27—their opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for a stay and preliminary injunction—as support for their argument that Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim, but their opposition did not refer to the standards for 12(b)(6) dismissal and fails to set out any justification for dismissal under the appropriate standard. Instead, Defendants argued only that Plaintiffs were not likely to succeed on the merits for purposes of a stay and preliminary injunction. Even if the Court accepted that argument, it does not follow that Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim under any set of facts, as required for dismissal under Rule 12(b)(6). Their motion to dismiss should be denied accordingly.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs ask this Court to deny Defendants' motion to dismiss on all grounds, and deny Defendants' motion to transfer.

Respectfully submitted,

KRIS W. KOBACH Attorney General of Kansas

/s/ James R. Rodriguez

James R. Rodriguez, Kan. SC No. 29172 Assistant Attorney General Abhishek S. Kambli, Kan. SC No. 29788 Deputy Attorney General Kansas Office of the Attorney General Topeka, Kansas 66612-1597 Phone: (785) 296-7109

Email: jay.rodriguez@ag.ks.gov abhishek.kambli@ag.ks.gov Counsel for the State of Kansas

DREW H. WRIGLEY North Dakota Attorney General

/s/ Philip Axt Philip Axt Solicitor General

Office of Attorney General 600 E. Boulevard Ave Dept. 125 Bismarck, North Dakota 58505

Phone: (701) 328-2210 Email: pjaxt@nd.gov

Counsel for the State of North Dakota

STEVE MARSHALL Alabama Attorney General

/s/ Robert M. Overing Robert M. Overing Deputy Solicitor General

Office of the Attorney General

State of Alabama

501 Washington Avenue

P.O. Box 300152

Montgomery, Alabama 36130-0152

Phone: (334) 242-7300 Fax: (334) 353-8400

Email: Robert.Overing@alabamaag.gov

Counsel for the State of Alabama

TIM GRIFFIN Arkansas Attorney General

<u>/s/ Nicholas J. Bronni</u> Nicholas I. Bronni Solicitor General Dylan L. Jacobs Deputy Solicitor General

Office of the Arkansas Attorney General

323 Center Street, Suite 200 Little Rock, AR 72201 Phone: (501) 682-2007

Email: Nicholas.bronni@arkansasag.gov

Counsel for the State of Arkansas

ASHLEY MOODY Florida Attorney General

/s/ Natalie Christmas Natalie Christmas Senior Counselor

Florida Attorney General's Office

PL-01 The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399 Phone: (850) 414-3300 Fax: (850) 487-2564

Natalie.christmas@myfloridalegal.com

Counsel for the State of Florida

RAÚL R. LABRADOR Attorney General of Idaho

<u>/s/ Alan Hurst</u> Alan Hurst Solicitor General Matthew L. Maurer* Deputy Attorney General Office of the Attorney General

PO Box 83720, Boise, Idaho 83720 Phone: (208) 334-2400

Email: Alan.Hurst@ag.idaho.gov Matthew.Maurer@ag.idaho.gov

Counsel for the State of Idaho

THEODORE E. ROKITA Attorney General of Indiana

/s/ James A. Barta

James A. Barta Solicitor General

Indiana Attorney General's Office

IGCS – 5th Floor 302 W. Washington St. Indianapolis, IN 46204 Phone: (317) 232-0709

Email: james.barta@atg.in.gov Counsel for the State of Indiana

BRENNA BIRD Attorney General of Iowa

/s/ Eric H. Wessan Eric H. Wessan Solicitor General 1305 E. Walnut Street Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Phone: (515) 823-9117

Email: Eric. Wessan@ag.iowa.gov

Counsel for the State of Iowa

ANDREW BAILEY Attorney General of Missouri

/s/ Joshua M. Divine Joshua M. Divine Solicitor General Office of the Missouri Attorney General Supreme Court Building 207 West High Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 Phone: (573) 751-8870

Email: Josh.Divine@ago.mo.gov Counsel for the State of Missouri

MICHAEL T. HILGERS Attorney General of Nebraska

<u>/s/ Zachary B. Pohlman</u> Zachary B. Pohlman Assistant Solicitor General Office of the Nebraska Attorney General 2115 State Capitol Lincoln, Nebraska 68509 Phone: (402) 471-2682 Email: Zachary.Pohlman@Nebraska.gov Counsel for the State of Nebraska

RUSSELL COLEMAN Attorney General of Kentucky

/s/Zachary M. Zimmerer Zachary M. Zimmerer Assistant Attorney General Kentucky Office of the Attorney General

700 Capital Avenue, Suite 118

Frankfort, Kentucky Phone: (502) 696-5617

Email: Zachary.zimmerer@ky.gov Counsel for the Commonwealth of Kentucky

AUSTIN KNUDSEN Attorney General of Montana

/s/Peter M. Torstensen, Jr. Peter M. Torstensen, Jr. Deputy Solicitor General Christian B. Corrigan Solicitor General Montana Department of Justice 215 North Sanders P.O. Box 201401

Helena, Montana 59620-1401 Phone: (406) 444.2026

Email: peter.torstensen@mt.gov Counsel for the State of Montana

JOHN M. FORMELLA Attorney General of New Hampshire

/s/Brandon F. Chase Brandon F. Chase Assistant Attorney General New Hampshire Department of Justice 1 Granite Place - South Concord, New Hampshire 03301 Phone: (603) 271-3650

Email: brandon.f.chase@doj.nh.gov Counsel for the State of New Hampshire

DAVE YOST Attorney General of Ohio

/s/ T. Elliot Gaiser

T. Elliot Gaiser Ohio Solicitor General

30 East Broad Street, 17th Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215 Phone: (614)466-8980 Fax: (614) 466-5087

Email: thomas.gaiser@ohioago.gov

Counsel for the State of Ohio

MARTY J. JACKLEY Attorney General of South Dakota

/s/Clifton Katz

Clifton Katz

Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General

State of South Dakota 1302 E. Hwy. 14, Suite #1 Pierre, South Dakota 57501

Phone: (605) 773-3215

Email: <u>Clifton.katz@state.sd.us</u> Counsel for the State of South Dakota

ALAN WILSON

Attorney General of South Carolina

/s/ Joseph D. Spate

Joseph D. Spate

Assistant Deputy Solicitor General

Office of the South Carolina Attorney General

1000 Assembly Street

Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Phone: (803) 734-3371

Email: josephspate@scag.gov Counsel for the State of South Carolina

JONATHAN SKRMETTI

Attorney General and Reporter of Tennessee

/s/ Brian Daniel Mounce

Brian Daniel Mounce

Strategic Litigation Counsel & Assistant Solicitor General

Office of Tennessee Attorney General

P.O. Box 20207

Nashville, Tennessee 37202

Phone: 615-741-1400

Email: <u>Brian.mounce@ag.tn.gov</u> Counsel for the State of Tennessee

KEN PAXTON Attorney General of Texas

Brent Webster
First Assistant Attorney General
Ralph Molina
Deputy First Assistant Attorney General
Austin Kinghorn
Deputy Attorney General, Legal Strategy
Ryan D. Walters
Chief, Special Litigation Division

/s/ David Bryant
David Bryant
Senior Special Counsel
Munera Al-Fuhaid
Special Counsel
Office of Attorney General of Texas
P.O. Box 12548
Austin, Texas 78711
Phone: (512) 936-1700

Email: <u>David.Bryant@oag.texas.gov</u> Munera.Ál-Fuhaid@oag.texas.gov

Counsel for the State of Texas

JASON S. MIYARES Attorney General of Virginia

/s/ Kevin M. Gallagher
Kevin M. Gallagher
Principal Deputy Solicitor General
Virginia Office of the Attorney General
202 North 9th Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
Phone: (804) 786-2071
Fax: (804) 786-1991
Email: kgallagher@oag.state.va.us

Counsel for the Commonwealth of Virginia